1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9.	Jammu and Kashmir	6	0	0	0	6	0
10.	Jharkhand	815	83	0	730	1	1
11.	Karnataka	8,559	4,152	21	3,127	1,203	56
12.	Kerala	1,879	172	0	1,291	335	81
13.	Madhya Pradesh	5,385	4,720	0	178	481	6
14.	Maharashtra	3,989	1,415	0	922	442	1,210
15.	Manipur	5	0	0	5	0	0
16.	Meghalaya	107	1	0	106	0	0
17.	Nagaland	157	0	0	157	0	0
18.	Orissa	23,666	700	2	20,247	2,665	52
19.	Puducherry	4	0	0	4	0	0
20.	Punjab	864	54	1	51	758	0
21.	Rajasthan	37,658	11,775	66	103	24,787	927
22.	Tamil Nadu	637	0	14	542	81	0
23.	Tripura	7,102	1	2	7,097	2	0
24.	Uttar Pradesh	5,911	1,768	873	2,800	455	15
27.	Uttarakhand	9	2	7	0	0	0
28.	West Bengal	10,773	582	5,196	4,339	657	0
	TOTAL	1,79,988	33,071	10,004	1,01,845	32,497	2,571

Working hours for agriculture labour

1348. SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that as per the Agriculture Labour Act, 1952 the labourer has to work only for six hours to get full daily wage since agriculture work is not like industrial work;

(b) if so, whether he is prepared to withdraw the notification issued by his Ministry to increase the working hours from 9 to 12; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) Ministry of Rural Development does not administer the Agriculture Labour Act, 1952.

(b) and (c) This Ministry has not issued any notification increasing the working hours from 9 to 12. However, under NREGA, *vide* circular dated 28.5.2008, the Ministry has clarified that nine hours of works is inclusive of one hour of interval of rest. Therefore, there is no question of withdrawing the notification.

Complaints against NREGS workers in Mahoba

†1349. SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has received any report in September/October, 2009 regarding corruption prevailing in various works being carried out under National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) in Mahoba district of Uttar Pradesh;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the names of the officers responsible for corruption and the action taken against them; and

(d) the steps being taken by Government to make NREGS corruption free?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Two complaints regarding irregularities in the implementation of NREGS in Mahoba district of Uttar Pradesh have been received in this Ministry during September/October, 2009. Details are as under:-

Sl.No.	Name of the Complainants	Allegation made
1.	Shri Munnalal and others, Gram Sabha Kudar, Tehsil Charkhari, District Mahoba	Work not provided for 100 days, payment through fake entries in muster roll under NREGA at Gram Sabha Kudar, Tehsil Charkhari, District Mahoba.
2.	Shri Sandeep Dixit, Member Central Employment Guarantee Council	Irregularities and Violation of NREGA Guidelines in Mahoba District of Uttar Pradesh

(c) The complaints have been sent to the State Government of Uttar Pradesh for taking appropriate action in accordance with the provisions of the Act. Response of the State Government has not been received so far.

(d) To make NREGS corruption free, the following steps have been taken:-

(i) A Web enabled Management Information System (MIS) (www.nrega.nic.in) has been made operational which places all critical parameters such as job cards, muster rolls, wage payments, number of days of employment provided and works under execution online for monitoring and easy public access for

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.